# GEOMETRIC MODULAR FORMS UON MATHEMATICS STUDY GROUP ON 'MODULI SPACES'

### ANDREEA MOCANU

# 1. Lecture 1

### 1.1. **Reminders.** Remember the following:

**Definition 1.1** (Elliptic curve). Let R be a field and S and R-scheme. An elliptic curve over S is a proper, smooth morphism  $p: E \to S$  such that the geometric fibers are connected curves of genus 1, together with a section  $e: S \to E$ .

We have seen that if  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$  (or any field of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ ), then the set:

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} (E,\omega) &: E \text{ is an e.c}/S, \\ \omega &: a \text{ non-zero invariant differential on } E \end{aligned} \right\}_{\simeq},$$

where  $(E, \omega) \simeq (E', \omega') \iff \phi : E \to E'$  is an S-isomorphism such that  $\phi^*(\omega') = \omega$ , is representable by

$$W = \operatorname{Spec}(R[A, B][\frac{1}{\Delta}]),$$

where  $\Delta = -16(4A^3 + 27B^2)$ . If if R = k, with char.  $k \neq 2, 3$  and we look at  $\mathcal{W}(K)$  for some field extension K/k, then given an elliptic curve  $(E, \omega)$ , we can obtain it from the universal elliptic curve over W

$$(\mathbb{E},\omega): y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B, \quad \omega = \frac{dx}{2y},$$

by specializing A and B.

# 1.2. Geometric modular forms.

**Definition 1.2** (Modular form of level 1). Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $R_0$  a commutative ring with unity and R and  $R_0$ -algebra. A modular form of weight k and level 1 is a rule f

$$(E/R,\omega) \mapsto f(E/R,\omega) \in R,$$

where E/R is an e.c./R and  $\omega$  is a basis of  $\Omega^1(E/R)$ , such that:

(i) f only depends on the isomorphism class of  $(E, \omega)$ .

#### ANDREEA MOCANU

- (*ii*)  $f(E/R, \lambda \omega) = \lambda^{-k} f(E/R, \omega)$ , for any  $\lambda \in R^{\times}$ .
- (*iii*) f commutes with arbitrary base change, i.e. if  $\psi : R \to R'$ is and  $R_0$ -algebra homomorphism which sends E to  $E' = E \times_{\text{Spec}(R)} \text{Spec}(R')$  (with  $p : E' \to E$ ), then if  $\omega' := p^*\omega$ , we have  $f(E'/R', \omega') = \phi(f(E/R, \omega))$ .

Note that we could have instead defined f as a rule  $E/S \to f(E/S)$ , a section of  $\underline{\omega}_{E/S}^{\otimes k}$ , where  $\underline{\omega}_{E/S}^{\otimes k} = p_*(\omega_{E/S}^1)$  is an invertible sheaf on S. Then, if  $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  and  $\underline{\omega}_{E/R}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $\omega$ , we would have  $f(E/\operatorname{Spec} R) = f(E/R, \omega)\omega^{\otimes k}$ .

They form an  $R_0$ -module  $M(R_0, 1, k)$ .

**Example 1.1.** We have seen that every e.c./ $\mathbb{C}$  is isomorphic to  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}\tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , for some  $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Then,  $(E_{\tau}, \omega) = (E_{\tau'}, \omega') \iff \tau' = \gamma \tau$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  (which maps  $z \mapsto z' = \frac{z}{c\tau+d}$ ) and if  $\omega = dz$ , then  $\omega' = (c\tau + d)dz'$  (where (c, d) are the lower entries of  $\gamma$ ). If we take a geometric modular form  $f(E_{\tau}, dz)$  and we define  $g(\tau) = f(E_{\tau}, dz)$ , then, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$g(\gamma\tau) = f(E_{\gamma\tau}, dz') = f(E_{\tau}, (c\tau + d)^{-1}dz)$$
$$= (c\tau + d)^k g(\tau),$$

so we obtain a modular form in the classical sense, provided we have the right holomorphicity conditions.

In order to talk about level N modular forms, we need to define:

**Definition 1.3** (Level N structure). If E/S is an e.c., a choice of isomorphism of group schemes  $\alpha : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 \to E[N]$  is called a level N structure for E/S.

**Definition 1.4** (Modular form of level N). If  $R_0$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ -algebra, then a modular form of weight k and level N is a rule f

$$(E, \alpha, \omega) \mapsto f(E, \alpha, \omega),$$

where everything is as before and  $\alpha$  is a level N structure on E, such that

(i) -.  
(ii) 
$$f(E/R, \alpha, \lambda \omega) = \lambda^{-k} f(E/R, \omega, \alpha)$$
, for all  $\lambda \in R^{\times}$ .  
(iii) -.

As before, they form an  $R_0$ -algebra  $M(R_0, N, k)$ .

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

1.3. *q*-expansions. Remember the following:

**Definition 1.5** (Level N Tate curve). It is the elliptic curve  $\operatorname{Tate}(q^N)/\mathbb{Z}((q))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0$  given by the equation:

$$y^{2} + xy = x^{3} + a_{4}(q^{N})x + a_{6}(q^{N}),$$

together with the canonical differential  $\omega_{can} = \frac{dx}{x+2y}$ .

Note that  $\operatorname{Tate}(q^N)[N] = \{\zeta_N^i q^j : 0 \leq i, j \leq N-1\}$ , for some primitive N-th root of unity  $\zeta_N$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a level N-structure of  $\operatorname{Tate}(q^N)$  and let f be a modular form of weight k and level N over  $R_0$ , a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, \zeta_N]$ algebra. Then:

**Definition 1.6** (q-expansion). The q-expansion of f at  $\alpha$  is

(\*)  $f(\text{Tate}(q^N), \alpha, \omega_{can}) \in \mathbb{Z}((q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0.$ 

Note that this automatically ensures meromorphicity at  $\infty$ .

**Definition 1.7.** We say that f is holomorphic at  $\infty$  if  $(*) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0$  for all  $\alpha$  and that f is a cusp form if  $(*) \in q\mathbb{Z}[[q]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0$  for all  $\alpha$ .

Remember that, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$  and S is an R-scheme, then

$$\mathcal{M}_N(S) = \{(E, \alpha)\}_{/\simeq}$$

has a coarse moduli space (which is fine if  $N \ge 3$ ), given by the smooth affine curve Y(N)(R). Over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$Y(N)(\mathbb{C}) = \coprod_{\substack{\zeta \in \mu_N \\ \varphi \text{ primitive}}} Y(N)_{\zeta}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where each  $Y(N)_{\zeta}(\mathbb{C}) = \{(E, \alpha) : \alpha = (e_1, e_2) \text{ with } \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_{\text{Weil}} = \zeta \}_{/\simeq} \simeq \Gamma(N) \setminus \mathfrak{H}.$ 

We have the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $R_0$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, \zeta_N]$ -algebra and f a modular form which is holomorphic at  $\infty$ . If, for any primitive N-th root of unity  $\zeta$ , there exists a level N-structure  $\alpha_{\zeta} = (e_1, e_2)$  on  $Tate(q^N)$  with  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_{Weil} = \zeta$ , such that the q-expansion of f at  $\alpha_{\zeta} = 0$ , then  $f \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* See Katz's original '72 paper.

The main consequence is:

**Corollary 1.2** (q-expansion principle). Let f be a modular form which is holomorphic at  $\infty$  and has coefficients in some  $R_0$ -module K. If, on each of the  $\phi(N)$  connected components of Y(N)(R), there is at least one cusp at which the q-coefficients of f lie in some  $R_0$ -submodule of K, then f is a modular form with coefficients in that submodule. *Proof.* Short exact sequences.

**Example 1.2.** We have seen (many times) the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function, which satisfies the elliptic equation:  $\wp'^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2(L)\wp - g_3(L)$ . We have  $g_2(L) = 60G_4(L)$  and  $g_3(L) = 140G_6(L)$ , where

$$G_k(L) = \sum_{\beta \in L} \beta^{-k}.$$

Note that we could have defined  $G_k(\tau)$  or  $G_k(E, \omega)$  because the spaces they lie in are isomorphic. If we define

$$E_k(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2\zeta(k)}G_k(\cdot),$$

then

$$E_k(q) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[q]].$$

Furthermore,  $E_4$  and  $E_6 \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  and  $\Delta = \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{1728}$  and  $j = \frac{E_4^3}{\Delta}$ . It is then easy to see that each  $E_k \in M(R_0, 1, k)$ .

# 2. Lecture 2

# 2.1. Reminders.

**Definition 2.1** (Modular form of level N). If  $R_0$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ -algebra and R is an  $R_0$ -algebra, then a modular form of weight k and level N on  $R_0$  is a rule f

$$(E, \alpha, \omega) \mapsto f(E, \alpha, \omega),$$

where everything is as before and  $\alpha$  is a level N structure on E, such that

- (i) f is defined on isomorphism classes.
- (ii)  $f(E/R, \alpha, \lambda \omega) = \lambda^{-k} f(E/R, \omega, \alpha)$ , for all  $\lambda \in R^{\times}$ .
- (iii) f is invariant under base change.

They form an  $R_0$ -algebra  $M(R_0, N, k)$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Level N Tate curve). It is the elliptic curve  $\operatorname{Tate}(q^N)/\mathbb{Z}((q))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_0$  given by the equation:

$$y^{2} + xy = x^{3} + a_{4}(q^{N})x + a_{6}(q^{N}),$$

together with the canonical differential  $\omega_{can} = \frac{dx}{x+2y}$ .

The Tate curve gives us the q-expansion of a modular form.

**Definition 2.3** (Eisenstein series). We define the weight k Eisenstein series:

$$E_k(q) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[q]]$$

Then,  $E_k \in M(R_0, 1, k)$  Furthermore,  $E_4$  and  $E_6 \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ .

### 3. *p*-adic modular forms

Let 
$$\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$
. Then, if  $p \ge 5$ , for  $k = p - 1$  we have  
 $v_p\left(\frac{-2(p-1)}{B_{p-1}}\right) = 1$ ,

and consequently  $E_{p-1}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[q]]$ . Thus, it makes sense to reduce the coefficients modulo p and we get a modular form in  $M(\mathbb{F}_p, 1, p-1)$ with q-expansion  $E_{p-1}(q) = 1$ .

Let E/R be an e.c., where R is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra (i.e. p = 0 in R). Consider the absolute Frobenius map defined on the sheaf of holomorphic functions on E:

$$F_{abs}: \mathcal{O}_E \to \mathcal{O}_E, \quad f \mapsto f^p.$$

Let  $\omega \in \Omega^1_{E/R}$  and let  $\eta \in H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$  be its dual. We have the following:

**Definition 3.1** (The Hasse invariant). The Hasse invariant is the map  $A: (E/R, \omega) \mapsto A(E/R, \omega)$  given by the equation

$$F_{abs}^*(\eta) = A(E/R,\omega)\eta.$$

The following holds:

**Proposition 3.1.** The Hasse invariant is an element of  $M(\mathbb{F}_p, 1, p-1)$ .

*Proof.* Given an  $\omega \in \Omega^1_{E/R}$ , if we make the substitution  $\omega \mapsto \lambda \omega$  for some  $\lambda \in R^{\times}$ , then we will have  $\eta \mapsto \lambda^{-1} \eta$ . Thus,

$$F_{abs}^*(\lambda^{-1}\eta) = \lambda^{-p} F_{abs}^*(\eta) = \lambda^{-p} A(E,\omega)\eta = A(E,\lambda\omega)\lambda^{-1}\eta.$$

Thus,  $A(E, \lambda \omega) = \lambda^{-(p-1)} A(E, \omega)$ . Furthermore, it can be shown that  $A(\text{Tate}(q), \omega_{can}) = 1$  and so A is holomorphic.

By the q-expansion principle,  $A = E_{p-1} \mod p$  for  $p \ge 5$  (this was shown by Deligne). For p = 2, 3 it is not possible to lift A to a holomorphic modular form on  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , but this can be fixed by adding some very specific level structure.

We want a *p*-adic theory of modular forms that strongly identifies a modular form with its q-expansion so that what 'looks' invertible, as in  $E_{p-1} \mod p$ , is invertible. The Hasse invariant  $A(E/R, \omega) = 0$  if and

#### ANDREEA MOCANU

only if E is supersingular. We want to somehow 'throw away' elliptic curves which are supersingular or have supersingular reduction:

**Definition 3.2** (*p*-adic modular form). Let R be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra for  $p \geq 5$ . Then a *p*-adic modular form of weight k and level N is a rule f

$$(E/R, \alpha, Y, \omega) \mapsto f(E/R, \alpha, Y, \omega) \in R,$$

where  $Y \in R$  is such that  $YE_{p-1}(E/R, \omega) = 1$  and such that

(i) -.  
(ii) 
$$f(E/R, \alpha, \lambda^{p-1}Y, \lambda\omega) = \lambda^{-k} f(E/R, \alpha, Y, \omega)$$
, for any  $\lambda \in R^{\times}$ .

We have the following:

**Theorem 3.2** (Swinnerton-Dyer). Let  $M(\mathbb{F}_p) = \sum_{k\geq 0} M(\mathbb{F}_p, 1, k)$ . Then

$$M(\mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[E_4, E_6] / (A(E_4, E_6) - 1).$$

Remark 1.

- (i) Not a direct sum because modular forms of different weight may have same mod p q-expansion.
- (*ii*)  $M(\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_k M_k(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6].$
- (*iii*) Proof uses the commutative diagram:

Introduce derivation  $\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}$  (on  $\mathbb{C}[q]$ ) and then  $\partial = 12\theta - kE_2$ :

$$E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_1(n) q^n$$

Then,  $\partial$  is a derivation on  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q]$  and then on  $\mathbb{F}_p[q]$ .

# References

- BUZZARD, Kevin, 2001. p-adic Modular Forms Lecture 2 [online]. Available at: http://swc.math.arizona.edu/aws/2001/01BuzzardL2.pdf
- [2] IOVITA, Adrian, 2009. Geometric Modular Forms. Lecture notes taken by Marc Masdeu-Sabaté.
- [3] KATZ, Nicholas M., 1972. p-adic Properties of Modular Schemes and Modular Forms [online]. Available at: https://web.math.princeton.edu/~nmk/old/ padicpropMFMS.pdf

6