## Level raising operators and Jacobi-Eisenstein series

Andreea Mocanu

The University of Nottingham

# Workshop on Jacobi forms and applications 15th of March, 2018

- Setting
- Motivation
- Results

Э

- the *weight* of a Jacobi form will be k in  $\mathbb{N}$  and the *index*  $\underline{L} = (L, \beta)$ , where:
  - L is a finite rank Z-module
  - $\beta: L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form which is:
    - symmetric:  $\beta(\lambda, \mu) = \beta(\lambda, \mu)$
    - positive-definite:  $\beta(\lambda, \lambda) > 0$  unless  $\lambda = 0$
    - even:  $\beta(\lambda, \lambda) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$
- set  $\beta(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2}\beta(\lambda,\lambda)$
- the *dual* of  $\underline{L}$ :  $L^{\#} := \{t \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \beta(\lambda, t) \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ for all } \lambda \text{ in } L\}$
- the *support* of <u>L</u>:

$$\operatorname{supp}(\underline{L}) := \{(D, t) : D \in \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}, t \in L^{\#} \text{ and } D \equiv \beta(t) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\}$$

- the *determinant* of  $\underline{L}$ : det $(\underline{L}) := |L^{\#}/L|$
- the *level* of  $\underline{L}$ :  $\mathsf{lev}(\underline{L}) := \min\{N \in \mathbb{N} : N\beta(t) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } t \text{ in } L^{\#}\}$

## Definition (The Jacobi group associated to $\underline{L}$ )

Define  $J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z})$  to be the semi-direct product  $\Gamma \ltimes L^2$ .

•  $J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\operatorname{Hol}(\mathfrak{H} \times (L \otimes \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C})$ :

$$egin{aligned} \phi|_{k,\underline{L}}\left(A,(\lambda,y)
ight)( au,z) &:= \phi\left(A au,rac{z+\lambda au+\mu}{c au+d}
ight)(c au+d)^{-k}\ & imes e\left(rac{-ceta(z+\lambda au+\mu)}{c au+d}+ aueta(\lambda)+eta(\lambda,z)
ight) \end{aligned}$$

#### Definition (Jacobi form of lattice index)

A function  $\phi$  in Hol( $\mathfrak{H} \times (L \otimes \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ ) is called a Jacobi form of weight k and index  $\underline{L}$  if:

•  $\phi|_{k,\underline{L}}(A,h) = \phi$ , for all (A,h) in  $J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z})$ ;

**2**  $\phi$  has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\phi(\tau,z) = \sum_{(D,t)\in \text{ supp}(\underline{L})} C(D,t) e\left((\beta(t)-D)\tau + \beta(t,z)\right).$$

- for fixed k and  $\underline{L}$ , denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of all such functions by  $J_{k,L}$
- as a consequence of results of Boylan (2015),  $J_{k,\underline{L}} = \{0\}$  if  $k < \frac{\mathsf{rk}(\underline{L})}{2}$

## 1.2 Jacobi-Eisenstein series

- define  $lso(\underline{L}) := \{r \in L^{\#}/L : \beta(r) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- define  $J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z})_{\infty} := \{(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (0, \mu)) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in L\}$

#### Definition (Jacobi-Eisenstein series)

For every r in  $Iso(\underline{L})$ , let  $g_{\underline{L},r}(\tau, z) := e(\beta(r)\tau + \beta(r, z))$  and define the Eisenstein series of weight k and index  $\underline{L}$  associated to r as

$${\mathcal E}_{k,\underline{L},r}( au,z):=rac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma\in J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z})_{\infty}ackslash J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z})}g_{\underline{L},r}|_{k,\underline{L}}\gamma( au,z).$$

- defined by Ajouz (2015); it is absolutely and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of 𝔅 × (L ⊗ ℂ) for k > <sup>rk(L)</sup>/<sub>2</sub> + 2
- Jacobi cusp forms have the following type of Fourier expansion:

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{(D,t) \in \text{supp}(\underline{L}) \\ D < 0}} C(D,t) e\left((\beta(t) - D)\tau + \beta(t,z)\right)$$

• denote the subspace of cusp forms of weight k and index  $\underline{L}$  by  $S_{k,\underline{L}}$ 

## Theorem (M., 2017)

The Eisenstein series  $E_{k,\underline{L},r}$  is an element of  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$  and it is orthogonal to  $S_{k,\underline{L}}$  with respect to a suitably defined Petersson scalar product. It has the following Fourier expansion:

$$egin{aligned} & E_{k,\underline{L},r}( au,z) = rac{1}{2} \left( artheta_{\underline{L},r}( au,z) + (-1)^k artheta_{\underline{L},-r}( au,z) 
ight) \ & + \sum_{\substack{(D,t) \in \mathrm{supp}(\underline{L}) \ D < 0}} C_{k,\underline{L},r}(D,t) e\left( (eta(t) - D) au + eta(t,z) 
ight), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{k,\underline{L},r}(D,t) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{rk(\underline{L})}{2}}i^k}{2\det(\underline{L})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(k-\frac{rk(\underline{L})}{2}\right)}(-D)^{k-\frac{rk(\underline{L})}{2}-1} \\ &\times \sum_{c\geq 1}c^{-k}\left(H_{\underline{L},c}(r,D,t)+(-1)^kH_{\underline{L},c}(-r,D,t)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_{\underline{L},c}(r, D, t)$  is the lattice sum

$$\sum_{\lambda \in L/cL, d \in (\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} e_{c} \left( \beta(\lambda + r) d^{-1} + (\beta(t) - D) d + \beta(t, \lambda + r) \right).$$

• they were defined for Jacobi forms of scalar index by Eichler & Zagier (1985), where they were used to develop a theory of newforms

#### Definition

For every *I* in  $\mathbb{N}$ , define the operator U(I) on the space  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$  as:

 $\phi|U(I)(\tau,z):=\phi(\tau,Iz).$ 

## Definition

For every *I* in  $\mathbb{N}$ , define the operator V(I) on the space  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$  as:

$$\phi|V(I)(\tau,z) = I^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{M \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \det(M) = I}} (\phi|_{k,\underline{L}}M) |U(\sqrt{I})(\tau,z).$$

 these operators were defined by Gritsenko (1988) as double coset operators and V(·) was also defined and used in Cléry & Gritsenko (2013)

- the operator U(I) corresponds to the endomorphism "multiplication by I" on T<sub>τ,L</sub> = (L ⊗ C) /(Lτ ⊕ L)
- assume that  $L\tau \oplus L$  is contained in L' with index I; if  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$  is a basis for L', then there exists  $M \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with determinant I, such that  $\binom{\tau}{1} = M\binom{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$
- if  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $(\phi|_{k,\underline{L}}M) | U(\sqrt{I})(\tau, z)$  contains a factor of  $\phi \left(M\tau, \frac{1}{c\tau+d}\right)$
- think of  $U(I): M_k(N) \to M_k(IN)$ ,

$$U(I)f(\tau) = \sum a(In)q^n$$

and of  $V(I): M_k(N) \rightarrow M_k(IN)$ 

$$V(l)f(\tau) = \sum a(n)q^{ln}$$

## 2. Why the interest?

 since Jacobi–Eisenstein series are perpendicular to cusp forms, we obtain the following decomposition:

$$J_{k,\underline{L}} = S_{k,\underline{L}} \oplus J_{k,\underline{L}}^{Eis}$$

• we are interested in a theory of *newforms* with respect to Hecke operators (defined by Ajouz (2015)): for every I in  $\mathbb{N}$ , which is coprime to  $\text{lev}(\underline{L})$ , define a double coset operator on  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$ 

$$T_{0}(I)\phi(\tau,z) := I^{k-2-rk(\underline{L})} \sum_{g \in J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} I^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} J_{\underline{L}}(\mathbb{Z})} \phi|_{k,\underline{L}}g(\tau,z)$$

• the *twists* of  $E_{k,\underline{L},r}$  by primitive Dirichlet characters modulo divisors of  $N_r$  (order of r in  $L^{\#}/L$ ) form a *basis of eigenforms* of  $J_{k,L}^{Eis}$ 

$$E_{k,\underline{L},r,\chi}(\tau,z) := \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_r}^{\times}} \chi(d) E_{k,\underline{L},dr}(\tau,z)$$

- there are two ways to think about newforms:
  - Jacobi forms coming from lattices of lower level

e.g. 
$$J_{k,\underline{L}} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{2k-1-\mathsf{rk}(\underline{L})}(\mathsf{lev}(\underline{L})/4)^-$$
 for  $\underline{L} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}, (x, y) \mapsto \mathsf{det}(\underline{L})xy)$ 

• Jacobi forms coming from *sublattices* (i.e.  $\underline{L} = \underline{L}_1 \oplus \underline{L}_2$ )

- linear operators give *structure* to a finite dimensional vector space (think basis of Hecke eigenforms) and  $U(\cdot)$  and  $V(\cdot)$  are "predecesors" of  $T(\cdot)$
- they facilitate *lifts* between different types of modular forms; for example,  $V(\cdot)$  was used by Cléry & Gritsenko (2013) to construct maps

$$J_{k,\underline{L}(m)}(\xi) o M_k\left( ilde{O}^+(L')
ight),$$

where  $\underline{L}(m) = (L, m \cdot \beta)$ 

- they have algebraic interpretations in terms of the surfaces that our modular forms underlie
- the level raising operators satisfy the following properties:

## Proposition (M., 2016)

The operators U(I) map  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$  to  $J_{k,\underline{L}(I^2)}$  and the V(I) map  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$  to  $J_{k,\underline{L}(I)}$ . Moreover, if  $\phi$  in  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$  has the Fourier expansion

$$\phi( au, z) = \sum_{(D,r)\in \mathrm{supp}(\underline{L})} C(D, r) e((eta(r) - D) au + eta(r, z)))$$

then  $\phi|U(I)$  and  $\phi|V(I)$  have the following Fourier expansions:

$$\begin{split} \phi | U(l)(\tau, z) &= \sum_{\substack{(D, r') \in \text{supp}(\underline{L}(l^2)) \\ r' \in lL(l^2)^{\#}}} C(D, lr') e\left( (l^2 \beta(r') - D)\tau + l^2 \beta(r', z) \right) \\ \phi | V(l)(\tau, z) &= \sum_{\substack{(D, r'') \in \text{supp}(\underline{L}(l)) \\ \frac{r''}{a} \in L(l)^{\#}}} \sum_{\substack{a^{k-1} C\left(\frac{lD}{a^2}, \frac{lr''}{a}\right), \\ \frac{r''}{a} \in L(l)^{\#}}} se\left( (l\beta(r'') - D)\tau + l\beta(r'', z) \right). \end{split}$$

• note that the level of  $\underline{L}(m)$  is  $lev(\underline{L}(m)) = m \cdot lev(\underline{L})$ .

- The proof is straight forward:
  - modularity of \(\phi\) |U(I)\) follow easily from that of \(\phi\); for \(\phi\) |V(I)\), a clever choice
    of coset representatives does the job
  - to determine the Fourier expansions, use the fact that  $L^{\#} \simeq L(m)^{\#}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $(r \mapsto \frac{1}{m}r)$

## Proposition (M., 2017)

The operators  $U(\cdot)$  and  $V(\cdot)$  commute:

$$\phi|U(I)|U(I') = \phi|U(II')$$
(1)

$$\phi|V(I')|U(I) = \phi|U(I)|V(I')$$
(2)

$$\phi|V(l')|V(l) = \sum_{d|\gcd(l,l')} d^{k-1}\phi|V\left(\frac{ll'}{d^2}\right)|U(d)$$
(3)

The operators  $U(\cdot)$  and  $V(\cdot)$  commute with  $T(\cdot)$ . If I is coprime to  $lev(\underline{L})$  and to I', then:

$$T(I)[\phi|U(I')] = [T(I)\phi]|U(I')$$
(4)

$$T(I)[\phi|V(I')] = [T(I)\phi]|V(I').$$
(5)

- the proof of (1) follows from the definition; reminder:  $\phi|U(l)(\tau, z) = \phi(\tau, lz)$
- (2) follows from (1); reminder: V(I) depends on  $U(\sqrt{I})$
- (3), (4) and (5) can be proved by comparing Fourier coefficients of both sides:
  - (3) is, as stated in *The Theory in Jacobi forms*, a question of counting: compute N(e) from

$$\sum_{\substack{b \mid \gcd(n,l)\\ \frac{r}{b} \in L^{\#}}} b^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{a \mid \gcd\left(\frac{nl}{b^2}, l'\right)\\ \frac{r}{ab} \in L^{\#}}} a^{k-1} c\left(\frac{nll'}{a^2b^2}, \frac{ll'r}{ab}\right) = \sum_e N(e)e^{k-1} c\left(\frac{nll'}{e^2}, \frac{ll'r}{e}\right)$$
$$\implies N(e) = \#\left\{d: d \mid \left(n, l, l', e, \frac{nl}{e}, \frac{nl'}{e}, \frac{nl'}{e}, \frac{nll'}{e^2}\right) \text{ and } \frac{r}{e} \in L^{\#}\right\}$$

• for (4) and (5), use brute force combined with some modular arithmetic, which uses the fact that (I, I') = 1 and that  $lev(\underline{L})L^{\#} \subseteq L$  for all L

• the goal would be to show that  $J_{k,\underline{L}}^{Eis}$  is the image of Eisenstein series coming from lower level under combinations of  $U(\cdot)$  and  $V(\cdot)$  + some *new* Eisenstein series and use it in

$$J_{k,\underline{L}} = S_{k,\underline{L}} \bigoplus J_{k,\underline{L}}^{Eis}$$

### Theorem (M., 2018)

Let  $\underline{L} = (L, \beta)$  be a positive-definite, even lattice. For every r in  $Iso(\underline{L})$  and every I in  $\mathbb{N}$ , the following holds:

$$\begin{split} E_{k,\underline{L},r}|U(l)(\tau,z) &= \sum_{\substack{s \in \left(\frac{1}{2}L^{\#}\right)/L \\ ls \equiv r \mod L}} E_{k,\underline{L}(l^2),s}(\tau,z), \\ E_{k,\underline{L},r}|V(l)(\tau,z) &= \sum_{s \in \operatorname{Iso}(\underline{L}(l))} E_{k,\underline{L}(l),s}(\tau,z) \sum_{\substack{a \mid (l\beta(s),l) \\ \frac{ls}{a} \equiv r \mod L}} a^{k-1} \end{split}$$

Proof

• we saw that the *singular term* (terms with D = 0 in the Fourier expansion) of  $E_{k,\underline{L},r}$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\vartheta_{\underline{L},r}(\tau,z)+(-1)^k\vartheta_{\underline{L},-r}(\tau,z)\right)$$

• the theta functions  $\{\vartheta_{\underline{L},r} : r \in Iso(\underline{L})\}$  are linearly independent for a fixed  $\underline{L}$ 

$$\vartheta_{\underline{L},r}(\tau,z) := \sum_{\substack{s \in L^{\#} \\ s \equiv r \mod L}} e\left(\beta(s)\tau + \beta(s,z)\right)$$

• for every  $\underline{L}$  and every  $\phi$  in  $J_{k,\underline{L}}$ , we have  $C_{\phi}(D, r) = C_{\phi}(D', r')$  whenever D = D' and  $r \equiv r' \mod L$ , due to the invariance of  $\phi$  with respect to the  $|_{k,L}$  action of  $L^2$ 

• in particular, this implies that

$$egin{aligned} U(l): J_{k,\underline{L}}^{ ext{Eis}} &
ightarrow J_{k,\underline{L}(l^2)}^{ ext{Eis}} \ V(l): J_{k,\underline{L}}^{ ext{Eis}} &
ightarrow J_{k,\underline{L}(l)}^{ ext{Eis}} \end{aligned}$$

• this result can also be used to show the following:

## Proposition (M., 2018)

For every r in Iso(<u>L</u>), every I in  $\mathbb{N}$  and every d in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $d^2 \mid I$ , the following holds:

$$E_{k,\underline{L},r}|U(d)|V\left(\frac{l}{d^2}\right)(\tau,z) = \sum_{x\in \mathrm{Iso}(\underline{L}(l))} E_{k,\underline{L}(l),x}(\tau,z) \sum_{\substack{a|\left(l\beta(x),\frac{l}{d^2}\right)\\\frac{lx}{da} \equiv r \bmod L}} a^{k-1}.$$

• to prove this, apply the previous theorem and then show that

$$\sum_{\substack{s \in \left(\frac{1}{d}L^{\#}\right)/L \\ ds \equiv r \mod L}} \delta\left(s, \frac{lx}{d^2a}\right) = \delta\left(r, \frac{lx}{da}\right)$$

## Corollary

For every I in  $\mathbb{N}$ , the following holds:

$$\frac{1}{l^{k-1}} \prod_{p|l} \frac{1}{1+p^{-(k-1)}} \sum_{d^2|l} \mu(d) E_{k,\underline{L},0} | U(d) | V\left(\frac{l}{d^2}\right)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{l^{k-1}} \prod_{p|l} \frac{1}{1+p^{-(k-1)}} \sum_{d^2|l} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{x \in \left(\frac{1}{l}L\right)/L \\ l\beta(x) \in \mathbb{Z}}} E_{k,\underline{L}(l),x} \sum_{a| \left(l\beta(x), \frac{l}{d^2}\right)} a^{k-1}.$ 

• this is nothing but the previous Proposition with r = 0, the observation that

$$\{x \in \underline{L}(I)^{\#}/L : Ix \in L\} = \left(\frac{1}{I}L\right)/L$$

and a strange looking "normalizing" factor; it is normalizing, because

- when k is odd, both sides of the above equation vanish (this is because  $E_{k,\underline{L},r} = (-1)^k E_{k,\underline{L},-r}$ )
- when k is even, the coefficient corresponding to E<sub>k,<u>L</u>(l),0</sub> on the right-hand side of the above equation is equal to one, in other words

$$\frac{1}{l^{k-1}}\prod_{p|l}\frac{1}{1+p^{-(k-1)}}\sum_{d^2|l}\mu(d)\sigma_{k-1}\left(\frac{l}{d^2}\right)=1$$

- $\bullet$  show that every  $E_{k,\underline{L},r}$  can be written as linear combinations of  $E_{k,\underline{L}(1/ll'^2),x}|U(l')|V(l)$
- which are the "new" Eisenstein series?

## Thank you!

- show that every  $E_{k,\underline{L},r}$  can be written as linear combinations of  $E_{k,\underline{L}(1/ll'^2),x}|U(l')|V(l)$
- which are the "new" Eisenstein series?

## **Questions?**