On the connection between Jacobi forms and elliptic modular forms

Andreea Mocanu

University of East Anglia 21st of October 2019

Structure of the talk

- Setup
- Jacobi forms and elliptic modular forms
- ullet Jacobi forms of index D_n

1. Setup

- $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : ad bc = 1 \right\}$
- $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma_0(m) := \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \{(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 \mod m & * \end{smallmatrix})\}$
- $\bullet \mathfrak{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(z) > 0\}$
- $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{H}$: $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \tau := \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$

Definition

Fix k in \mathbb{N} . An elliptic modular form of weight k with respect to $\Gamma_0(m)$ is a holomorphic function $f:\mathfrak{H}\to\mathbb{C}$ which satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^k f(\tau)$$

- $M_k(m) := \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ f \text{ as above} \}$ is finite-dimensional
- $e(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$, every f as above has a Fourier expansion:

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_f(n) e(n\tau)$$

• $S_k(m) := \{ f \in M_k(m) : a_f(0) = 0 \}$



- fix $L = (L, \beta)$:
 - $L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{rk}(\underline{L})}$
 - $\beta: L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$ is
 - positive-definite: $\beta(\lambda,\lambda) > 0$ for all λ in L even: $\beta(\lambda) := \frac{\beta(\lambda,\lambda)}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$
- \bullet $J^{\underline{L}} := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes L^2$
- \bullet $(\tau, \mathfrak{z}) \in \mathfrak{H} \times (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C})$
- $J^{\underline{L}} \subset \mathfrak{H} \times (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}) : \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu) \right] (\tau, \mathfrak{z}) := \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\mathfrak{z} + \tau\lambda + \mu}{c\tau + d} \right)$
- $L^{\#} := \{ t \in L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} : \beta(t, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \lambda \text{ in } L \}$

Definition

Fix k in N. A Jacobi form of weight k and index L is a holomorphic function $\varphi: \mathfrak{H} \times (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies

$$\varphi(\tau, \mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}, t \in L^{\#} \\ D \equiv \beta(t) \bmod \mathbb{Z}}} C_{\varphi}(D, t) \, e((\beta(t) - D)\tau + \beta(t, \mathfrak{z})).$$

- ullet $J_{k,L}:=\mathsf{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{arphi ext{ as above}\}$ is finite-dimensional
- $\bullet \ S_{k,\underline{L}} := \left\{ \varphi \in J_{k,\underline{L}} : C_{\varphi}(0,t) = 0, \forall t \in L^{\#} \text{ s.t. } \beta(t) \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Example

If L is unimodular, then

$$\vartheta_{\underline{L}}(\tau,\mathfrak{z}):=\sum_{\lambda\in L}e\ (\beta(\lambda)\tau+\beta(\lambda,\mathfrak{z}))$$

is a Jacobi form of weight $\frac{\mathsf{rk}(\underline{L})}{2}$ and $index\ \underline{L}$.

• set $\Gamma_{\infty}:=\{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}): n\in \mathbb{Z}\}\leqslant \Gamma_{0}(m)$ and define the weight k

$$E_k(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\left(\substack{a & b \\ c & d \right) \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} \in M_k(m)$$

 \bullet $M_k(1) = S_k(1) \oplus \mathbb{C}E_k$



- $\bullet \text{ set } J^{\underline{L}}_{\overline{\infty}} := \{ \left[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left(0, \mu \right) \right] : n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in L \} \leqslant J^{\underline{L}}$
- define $g_{\underline{L},t}(\tau,\mathfrak{z}):=e(\beta(t)\tau+\beta(t,z))$ $(t\in L^{\#})$
- for every r in $L^\#$ s.t. $\beta(r) \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the Eisenstein series of weight k and index \underline{L} associated with r

$$E_{k,\underline{L},r}(\tau,\mathfrak{z}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in J_{\infty}^{\underline{L}} \setminus J^{\underline{L}}} g_{\underline{L},r}(\gamma(\tau,\mathfrak{z}))(c\tau + d)^{-k}$$

$$\times e \left(\frac{-c\beta(\mathfrak{z} + \lambda\tau + \mu)}{c\tau + d} + \tau\beta(\lambda) + \beta(\lambda,\mathfrak{z}) \right)$$

• $J_{k,L}^{\mathrm{Eis}} := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ E_{k,L,r} : r \in L^{\#}/L \text{ and } \beta(r) \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Theorem (M. 2017)

When $k>rac{\mathit{rk}(\underline{L})}{2}+2$, the Eisenstein series are elements of $J_{k,\underline{L}}$ and

$$J_{k,\underline{L}} = S_{k,\underline{L}} \oplus J_{k,\underline{L}}^{\operatorname{Eis}}.$$

Hecke theory

- (Atkin-Lehner, 1970): $T(l): M_k(m) \to M_k(m) \ (l \in \mathbb{N})$
 - T(m)T(n)f = T(n)T(m)f

 - $T(l): S_k(m) \to S_k(m)$
- (Ajouz, 2015): $T(l): J_{k,\underline{L}} \to J_{k,\underline{L}}$
 - $T(m)T(n)\varphi = T(n)T(m)\varphi$
 - $\langle T(l)\varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, T(l)\psi \rangle$
 - $\bullet T(l): S_{k,\underline{L}} \to S_{k,\underline{L}}$
- ullet a Hecke eigenform is f in $M_k(m)$ s.t. $T(l)f=\lambda_f(l)f$
- ullet a Hecke eigenform is arphi in $J_{k,\underline{L}}$ s.t. $T(l)arphi=\lambda_{arphi}(l)arphi$

Theorem

The space $M_k(m)$ has a basis of Hecke eigenforms.

Theorem

The space $J_{k,L}$ has a basis of Hecke eigenforms.



- \bullet $n \mid m$ and $d \mid \frac{m}{n}, g \in M_k(n) \implies g(d\tau) \in M_k(m)$
- $M_k(m) = M_k^{\mathsf{new}}(m) \oplus M_k^{\mathsf{old}}(m)$, compatible with Hecke ops.
- ullet a normalized newform is a Hecke eigenform in $S_{k}^{\mathsf{new}}(m)$ s.t. $a_f(1) = 1$
 - \bullet if f is a normalized newform, then $f(\tau) = \sum \, \lambda_f(n) e(n\tau)$

There does not exist a complete theory of newforms for Jacobi forms of lattice index.

Modularity Theorem (Wiles et. al.)

Elliptic curves over $\mathbb Q$ are "related to" normalized newforms of weight 2.

Fermat's Last Theorem (Wiles, 1994)

No three positive integers a, b, and c satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ for any integer $n \ge 3$.



2. Jacobi forms and elliptic modular forms

- ullet if f is a Hecke eigenform, then $L(s,f):=\sum_{n\geq 1}\lambda_f(n)n^{-s}$
- ullet if arphi is a Hecke eigenform, then $L(s,arphi):=\sum_{n\geqslant 1}^{n-1}\lambda_{arphi}(n)n^{-s}$

Langlands Program

It is a series of conjectures about connections between geometry and number theory.

(arithmetic L-functions) \longleftrightarrow (automorphic L-functions)

• $f \in M_t(m)$ is a Hecke eigenform:

$$L(s,f) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - \lambda_f(p)p^{-s} + p^{t-1-2s})^{-1}$$

• $\mathsf{rk}(\underline{L})$ is odd and $\varphi \in J_{k,L}$ is a Hecke eigenform:

$$L(s,\varphi) = \prod_{\substack{p \text{ prime}}} (1 - \lambda_{\varphi}(p)p^{-s} + p^{2k - \mathsf{rk}(\underline{L}) - 2 - 2s})^{-1}$$



Liftings

• $\operatorname{lev}(\underline{L}) := \min\{N \in \mathbb{N} : N\beta(t) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall t \text{ in } L^{\#}\}$

Theorem (Ajouz, 2015)

If $\operatorname{rk}(\underline{L})$ is odd and $2k \geqslant \operatorname{rk}(\underline{L}) + 3$, then there exist liftings from $S_{k,\underline{L}}$ to $M_{2k-\operatorname{rk}(\underline{L})-1}(\operatorname{lev}(\underline{L})/2)$ which commute with Hecke operators.

•
$$M_k(m) = M_k^-(m) \oplus M_k^+(m)$$

 $f \in M_k^{\varepsilon}(m) \implies L_m(s, f) = \varepsilon L_m(k - s, f)$

Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture

If E is an elliptic curve, then the rank of the group of rational points of E is the order of the zero of L(s,E) at s=1.

- $\mathfrak{M}_k(m) := M_k^{new}(m) \oplus \{ \text{very special oldforms} \}$
- $\bullet \ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\varepsilon}(m) := \mathfrak{M}_k(m) \cap M_k^{\varepsilon}(m)$



Conjecture (*) (Ajouz, 2015)

If $\mathsf{rk}(\underline{L})$ is odd, then there exists an isomorphism

$$J_{k,\underline{L}} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{2k-rk(\underline{L})-1}^{\varepsilon}(\operatorname{lev}(\underline{L})/4)$$

which commutes with Hecke operators, where $\varepsilon = -$ if $\mathsf{rk}(\underline{L}) \equiv 1$ or $3 \bmod 8$ and $\varepsilon = +$ otherwise.

ullet (*) was proved for ${\sf rk}(\underline{L})=1$ by Skoruppa–Zagier (1988)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \bullet & M_{2k-2}(1) \xleftarrow{} \overset{\sim}{\operatorname{S-K}} & M_k^*(\Gamma_2) \\ \operatorname{Shimura} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ M_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^+(4) & \overset{\sim}{\underline{\operatorname{Eichler-Zagier}}} & J_{k,1} \end{array}$$

- can lift Jacobi forms to reflective modular forms (RMFs)
 - some RMFs are automorphic discriminants of moduli spaces

3. Jacobi forms of index D_n

- $D_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$
- Euclidean bilinear form: $(x,y) := x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ny_n$
- n is odd

Fact

If $m \equiv n \mod 8$, then $J_{k+\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, D_n} \simeq J_{k+\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil, D_m}$.

ullet enough to consider n=1,3,5 and 7

Fact

There exists a differential operator $\partial: J_{k,\underline{L}} \to J_{k+2,\underline{L}}$.

Fact

If $f \in M_{k_1}(1)$ and $\varphi \in J_{k_2,\underline{L}}$, then $f\varphi \in J_{k_1+k_2,\underline{L}}$.



Generators for even weights

•
$$E_8 = \left\{ x : x \in \mathbb{Z}^8 \text{ or } x \in \left(\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^8, x_1 + \dots + x_8 \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

•
$$\alpha_n: D_n \to E_8, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Fact

If $\varphi \in J_{k,E_8}$, then $\varphi(\tau,\alpha_n(\mathfrak{z})) \in J_{k,D_n}$.

$$ullet$$
 consider $artheta_{E_8}(au,\mathfrak{z})=\sum_{\lambda\in E_8}e\left(rac{(\lambda,\lambda)}{2} au+(\lambda,\mathfrak{z})
ight)$ and define

$$E_{4,D_n}(\tau,\mathfrak{z}) := \vartheta_{E_8}(\tau,\alpha_n(\mathfrak{z}))$$

$$E_{6,D_n}(\tau,\mathfrak{z}) := \partial \vartheta_{E_8}(\tau,\alpha_n(\mathfrak{z}))$$

$$E_{8,D_n}(\tau,\mathfrak{z}) := \partial^2 \vartheta_{E_8}(\tau,\alpha_n(\mathfrak{z}))$$

Theorem (Boylan-Skoruppa, in preparation)

$$J_{2k,D_n} = M_{2k-4}(1)E_{4,D_n} \oplus M_{2k-6}(1)E_{6,D_n} \oplus M_{2k-8}(1)E_{8,D_n}$$



Generators for odd weights

•
$$\eta(au) := e\left(au/24\right) \prod_{n\geqslant 1} (1-e(n au))$$
 has weight $\frac{1}{2}$

$$\vartheta(\tau,z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{-4}{n}\right) \, e\left(\tau \frac{n^2}{8} + \frac{nz}{2}\right) \text{ has weight } \tfrac{1}{2} \text{ and index } \\ \left(\mathbb{Z}, (x,y) \mapsto 2 \times \tfrac{1}{2} xy\right)$$

Fact

For $1 \leq n \leq 7$, the function

$$\psi_{12-n,D_n}(\tau,\mathfrak{z}):=\eta(\tau)^{24-3n}\vartheta(\tau,z_1)\ldots\vartheta(\tau,z_n)$$

is an element of S_{12-n,D_n} ($\mathfrak{z}=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$).

Theorem (Boylan-Skoruppa, in preparation)

$$J_{2k+1,D_n} = M_{2k-11+n}(1)\psi_{12-n,D_n}$$



Strategy

$$(\star) \implies J_{k,D_n} \simeq \begin{cases} \mathfrak{M}_{2k-n-1}^-(2), & n = 1, 3\\ \mathfrak{M}_{2k-n-1}^+(2), & n = 5, 7 \end{cases}$$

- ullet for fixed odd n and every weight:
 - find formulas for Fourier coefficients of generators (by hand)
 - find basis of Hecke eigenforms (by hand/use SageMath)
 - $\text{ if } \varphi \text{ is a Hecke eigenform: } \lambda_{\varphi}(l) = \frac{T(l)\varphi}{\varphi} = \frac{C_{T(l)\varphi}(D,r)}{C_{\varphi}(D,r)}$ (implement item 1 in SageMath)
 - compare eigenvalues with those of elliptic modular forms (available on the LMFDB)

Remark

Conjecture $(\star) \implies J_{k,D_1} \simeq J_{k+1,D_3}$ and $J_{k,D_5} \simeq J_{k+1,D_7}$. But Boylan–Skoruppa \implies this is false!

ullet e.g. J_{11,D_1} is 1-dim and J_{12,D_3} is 3-dim



Weight 4

- $J_{4,D_n} \simeq \mathbb{C}E_{4,D_n}$
 - Fourier coefficients are representation numbers of quadratic forms and

$$E_{4,D_n} = E_{4,D_n,0}$$

- **②** √
- $(D,r)=(-1,(0,0,0)) \text{ in } \lambda_{E_{4,D_3}}(l)=\frac{C_{T(l)E_{4,D_3}}(D,r)}{C_{E_{4,D_3}}(D,r)} \text{ gives }$

l	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15
$\lambda_{E_{4,D_3}}(l)$	1	28	126	344	757	1332	2198	3528

the eigenvalues match

$$E_4(\tau) = 1/240 + e(\tau) + 9 e(2\tau) + 28 e(3\tau) + 73 e(4\tau) + 126 e(5\tau)$$

$$+ 252 e(6\tau) + 344 e(7\tau) + 585 e(8\tau) + 757 e(9\tau)$$

$$+ 1134 e(10\tau) + 1332 e(11\tau) + 2044 e(12\tau) + 2198 e(13\tau)$$

$$+ 3096 e(14\tau) + 3524 e(15\tau) + \dots$$



Summary

- $\quad \bullet \ J^{old}_{k,D_n} := \{ f \in J_{k,D_n} : \text{eigenv. of } f \text{ match those of ell. oldforms} \}$
- $\bullet \ J_{k,D_n}^{new} := \{ f \in J_{k,D_n} : \text{eigenv. of } f \text{ match those of ell. newforms} \}$
- results in The Table suggest that

$$\begin{split} J_{k+1,D_1}^{old} \simeq & J_{k+3,D_5}^{old} \simeq M_{2k}^-(1) = \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{old,-}(2) \\ J_{k+2,D_3}^{old} \simeq & J_{k+4,D_7}^{old} \simeq M_{2k}^+(1) = \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{old,+}(2) \\ J_{k+1,D_1}^{new} \simeq & J_{k+2,D_3}^{new} \simeq M_{2k}^{new,-}(2) = \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{new,-}(2), \\ J_{k+3,D_5}^{new} \simeq & J_{k+4,D_7}^{new} \simeq M_{2k}^{new,+}(2) = \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{new,+}(2) \end{split}$$

Skoruppa–Zagier (1988):

$$J_{k+1,D_1} \simeq \mathfrak{M}^-_{2k}(2) = M^{new,-}_{2k}(2) \oplus M^-_{2k}(1)$$



Conjecture (M. 2019)

For every $k \geqslant 2$, the following holds:

$$J_{k+2,D_3} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{new,-}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{old,+}(2),$$

$$J_{k+3,D_5} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{new,+}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{old,-}(2),$$

$$J_{k+4,D_7} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{+}(2)$$

and these isomorphisms are Hecke equivariant.

Lemma (M. 2019)

For every $k \geqslant 2$, the following holds:

$$\dim(J_{k+2,D_3}) = \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{new,-}(2)) + \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{old,+}(2)),$$

$$\dim(J_{k+3,D_5}) = \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{new,+}(2)) + \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{old,-}(2)) \text{ and }$$

$$\dim(J_{k+4,D_7}) = \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{2k}^{+l}(2)).$$

The proof of the lemma uses:

- ullet dimension formulas for $M_{2k}(1)$, from which in turn we obtain dimension formulas for J_{t,D_n}
- dimension formulas for $M_{2k}(2)$, from which in turn we obtain dimension formulas for $M_{2k}(2)^{new} = S_{2k}(2)^{new}$
- the fact that $M_{2k}(2)^{new} = M_{2k}(2)^{new,+} \oplus M_{2k}(2)^{new,-}$ combined with the formula

$$\dim(M^{new,+}_{2k}(2)) = \begin{cases} \dim(M^{new,-}_{2k}(2)), & k \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4, \\ \dim(M^{new,-}_{2k}(2)) + 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To do

- make experimental results precise
- more computations
- newform theory
- trace formula for Jacobi forms of lattice index

Thank you!